

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/870,226	05/30/2001	Kenneth L. Smith	54538USA7C012	9179	
7:	590 09/05/2002				
Office of Intellectual Property Counsel			EXAMINER		
PO Box 33427	Properties Company		LONEY, DONALD J		
St. Paul, MN	55133-3427		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			1772	Н	
•			DATE MAILED: 09/05/2002		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

				AS-4
	Application No.	Applicant(s)		
Office Action Summary	69/870 220	Ju It		
Office Action Summary	Examiner 0. L o o o o	,	Group Art Unit	
—The MAILING DATE of this communication appea			resp ndence addre	 ess
Peri df r Reply	>			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO OF THIS COMMUNICATION.	ro expire 5	MONTH(S)	FROM THE MAILING	G DATE
 Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR from the mailing date of this communication. If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a religious of the period for reply is specified above, such period shall, by defaults. Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by states. 	reply within the statutory minim t, expire SIX (6) MONTHS fron	um of thirty (30) do	ays will be considered ti of this communication .	imely.
Status				
☐ Responsive to communication(s) filed on				·
☐ This action is FINAL.				
 Since this application is in condition for allowance excep accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 19 			he merits is closed	l in
Disp siti n of Claims				
~C(laim(s) 2	is/are pending in the application.		ition.	
Of the above claim(s)		is/are w	ithdrawn from consid	deration.
□ Claim(s)				•
7(Claim(s) 1-2		is/are re	jected.	
Claim(s)		is/are of	ojected to.	
□ Claim(s)			ject to restriction or e	election
Application Papers		requirer	nent.	
☐ See the attached Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawin	ng Review, PTO-948.			
☐ The proposed drawing correction, filed on	is 🗆 approved	☐ disapproved		
☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are obje	cted to by the Examiner.			
☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.				
$\hfill\Box$ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.				
Pri rity under 35 U.S.C. § 119 (a)-(d)				
 □ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority to all □ Some* □ None of the CERTIFIED copies o □ received. □ received in Application No. (Series Code/Serial Numl □ received in this national stage application from the In 	f the priority documents have ber)ternational Bureau (PCT F	ave been Rule 1 7.2(a)).	·	
*Certified copies not received:			· ·	
Attachment(s) Attachment(s) PTO-1449, Paper	፟፟ጟ			
Anformation Disclosure Stat ment(s), PTO-1449, Paper	No(s)	nterview Summ	ary, PTO-413	

☐ Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Revi w, PTO-948 Office Acti n Summary

Part of Paper No._

☐ Notice of Informal Patent Application, PTO-152

□ Other_

☐ Notice of Referenc (s) Cited, PTO-892

Art Unit: 1772

DETAILED ACTION

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States. Claims 1-14 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by (either Rowland U.S. Pat. Nos. 3684348 or 3810804).

Both references teach a recessed face layer (12) with a reflective film (30) and adhesive (34) which fills the recesses. Refer to Fig. No 3 along with the corresponding text in both Rowland patents.

Claims 15-21 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Rowland et al U.S. Pat. No. 5,512,219)

Rowland et al teaches a recessed substrate (16,36) with a reflective layer wherein the recesses are filled with a radiation sensitive polymer (22,44). Refer to fig. no. 2, 30, 3E along with column 4, lines 5-24 and column 5, lines 28-65.

The nonstatutory double patenting rejection is based on a judicially created doctrine grounded in public policy (a policy reflected in the statute) so as to prevent the unjustified or improper timewise extension of the "right to exclude" granted by a patent and to prevent possible harassment by multiple assignees. See In re Goodman, 11 F.3d 1046, 29 USPQ2d 2010 (Fed. Cir. 1993); In re Longi, 759 F.2d 887, 225 USPQ 645 (Fed. Cir. 1985); In re Van Ornum, 686

F.2d 937, 214 USPQ 761 (CCPA 1982); *In re Vogel*, 422 F.2d 438, 164 USPQ 619 (CCPA 1970); and, *In re Thorington*, 418 F.2d 528, 163 USPQ 644 (CCPA 1969).

A timely filed terminal disclaimer in compliance with 37 CFR 1.321© may be used to overcome an actual or provisional rejection based on a nonstatutory double patenting ground provided the conflicting application or patent is shown to be commonly owned with this application. See 37 CFR 1.130(b).

Effective January 1, 1994, a registered attorney or agent of record may sign a terminal disclaimer. A terminal disclaimer signed by the assignee must fully comply with 37 CFR 3.73(b).

Claims 1-21 rejected under the judicially created doctrine of obviousness-type double patenting as being unpatentable over claims 1-21 of U.S. Patent No.6280822. Although the conflicting claims are not identical, they are not patentably distinct from each other because the claims of the same scope except for the "width between the recesses" limitation in U.S. Patent No. 6280822 which is excluded from the broader instant claims.

Any inquiry concerning this communication should be directed to Ex. D. Loney at telephone number 308-2416.

Application/Control Number: 09870226

Art Unit: 1772

Dloney:evh

8/23/02

Page 4

DONALD J. LONEY